1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

1617

18

19

2021

2223

24

2526

27

28

WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROY ORON, an individual, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. C19-523RSM

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR OVER-LENGTH BRIEFING

This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff Amazon.com, Inc.'s Motion to file overlength briefing for a motion for default judgment against Defendants Roy Oron and Clickonomy Ltd. Dkt. #110. A Motion for the Court to enter default judgment against a party that has not appeared is typically limited to six pages. *See* LCR 7(d)(1), LCR 7(e)(1). Where a party has appeared but is in default, such Motions are limited to 12 pages and must be noted for consideration no earlier than three Fridays after filing. LCR 7(d)(3), LCR 7(e)(4). Amazon argues that good cause exists here to file briefing "not to exceed 45 pages." Dkt. #110 at 2.

"Motions seeking approval to file an over-length motion or brief are disfavored." LCR 7(f).

As an initial matter, the Court believes Defendant Oron has appeared in this case, even though the Court has entered default against him. See, e.g., Dkt. #54, Dkt. #68. Although

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR OVER-LENGTH BRIEFING - 1

Defendant Clickonomy Ltd has not appeared, the Court believes Amazon's forthcoming motion for default judgment against both Defendants should be noted for consideration under LCR 7(d)(3) so that Mr. Oron has an opportunity to respond. As such, it will be limited to 12 pages of briefing by local rule.

The Court has determined it can rule on the instant Motion without responsive briefing. Amazon's entire Motion is five sentences. To satisfy the good cause standard, Amazon states only that this case "includes significant legal and factual briefing regarding the Court's jurisdiction, Roy Oron and Clickomy Ltd.'s liability, the seven factors in *Eitel v. McCool*, 782 F.2d 1470, 1471-72 (9th Cir. 1986), and Amazon's request for damages and an injunction." Dkt. #110 at 2. While it may be true that this case involves a complex fact pattern, every motion for default judgment must address the seven *Eitel* factors. Most plaintiffs have no difficulty doing so within twelve pages. To the extent Amazon believes good cause for triple that amount should be obvious here from the Court's review of previous briefing, it could equally be said that Amazon can rely on that briefing and need not repeat it in the forthcoming motion. Amazon has not established good cause.

Having reviewed Amazon's Motion and the remainder of the record, the Court hereby finds and ORDERS that Amazon's Motion for Over-length Briefing, Dkt. #110, is DENIED.

DATED this 4th day of November, 2021.

RICARDO S. MARTINEZ

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE